

# Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/747,029

Applicant(s)

UNION ET AL..2

Examiner

DiBrino Marianne

Art Unit

1644

## All Participants:

(1) DiBrino Marianne.

(2) Madsen, Mathew.

Status of Application: \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 20 October 2004

Time: 1:45 PM ECT

## Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

## Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

*none*

Claims discussed:

*13*

Prior art documents discussed:

*none*

## Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

## Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the ~~Notice of Allowability~~. *Examiner's Amendment attached hereto.*

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. Madsen gave permission for an examiner's amendment: To delete from claim 13 "according to claim 12" at line 1, and to add after "A kit" --for use in detecting autoantibodies present in the sera of patients with rheumatoid arthritis--. To delete the limitation "A" from the beginning of dependent claims 3, 4, 8, 9 and 14, and to replace the said limitation with --The--..